



**Golden State**  
**Water Company**  
A Subsidiary of American States Water Company

Golden State Water Company  
Orange County District Office  
2283 E. Via Burton St.  
Anaheim, CA 92806

---

# **2022 Report on Public Health Goals**

# **Placentia-Yorba Linda System**

*Report Prepared by*  
*Golden State Water Company*

---

# Introduction

## Public Health Goals - Background

Provisions of the California Health and Safety Code, Section 116470(b), specify that larger water utilities (>10,000 service connections) prepare a special report by July 1, 2022 if their water quality measurements have exceeded any Public Health Goals (PHGs). PHGs are non-enforceable goals established by the California EPA's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). The law also requires that where OEHHA has not adopted a PHG for a constituent, the water suppliers are to use the Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCLGs) adopted by United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Only constituents which have a California primary drinking water standard and for which either a PHG or MCLG has been set are to be addressed.

Golden State Water Company (Golden State Water) is providing information in conformance with this requirement by providing this updated report. If a regulated constituent was detected in the water supply between 2019 and 2021 at a level exceeding an applicable PHG or MCLG, this report provides the information required by the law. Included is the numerical public health risk associated with the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) and the PHG or MCLG, the category or type of risk to health that could be associated with each constituent, the best treatment technology available that could be used to reduce the constituent level, and an estimate of the cost to install that treatment if it is appropriate and feasible.

## What Are PHGs?

The USEPA and the State Water Resources Control Board's Division of Drinking Water (DDW) are responsible for establishing regulations and setting drinking water standards and goals. These agencies, along with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) set rules and regulations for water systems to follow.

PHGs are set by OEHHA and are based solely on public health risk considerations. None of the practical risk-management factors that are considered by the USEPA or DDW in setting MCLs are considered in setting the PHGs. These factors include analytical detection capability, treatment technology available, benefits and costs. The PHGs are not enforceable and are not required to be met by any public water system. MCLGs are the federal equivalent to PHGs.

## Water Quality Data Considered

All of the water quality data collected by our water system between 2019 and 2021 for purposes of determining compliance with drinking water standards

was considered. This data was summarized in our 2019, 2020, and 2021 Consumer Confidence Reports on Water Quality which were made accessible to all Golden State Water customers.

### **Guidelines Followed**

The Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) formed a workgroup which prepared guidelines for water utilities to use in preparing these reports. The ACWA guidelines were used in the preparation of our report. No guidance was available from State regulatory agencies.

### **Best Available Treatment Technology and Cost Estimates**

Both the USEPA and DDW adopt Best Available Technologies (BATs) which are the best known methods of reducing contaminant levels to the MCL. Costs can be estimated for such technologies. However, since many PHGs and all MCLGs are set much lower than the MCL, it is not always possible or feasible to determine what treatment is needed to further reduce a constituent downward to or near the PHG or MCLG, many of which are set at zero. Estimating the costs to reduce a constituent to zero is difficult, if not impossible because it is not possible to verify by analytical means that the level has been lowered to zero. In some cases, installing treatment to try and further reduce very low levels of one constituent may have adverse effects on other aspects of water quality.

## Constituents Detected that Exceed PHGs or MCLGs

### *Inorganic Chemical Contaminants*

#### **Perchlorate**

Perchlorate has been detected at levels up to 3.9 micrograms per liter ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) in the water supplied to the Placentia – Yorba Linda System. The MCL is 6  $\mu\text{g/L}$  and the PHG is 1  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . Our water system is in full compliance with the drinking water standard for perchlorate, but the perchlorate level in the system at times exceeds the PHG.

The DDW and USEPA have determined that perchlorate is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Perchlorate is an oxidizing chemical used in a variety of industrial processes. This chemical has been shown to cause endocrine (thyroid) toxicity and developmental toxicity in humans. The numerical health risk for the PHG of 1  $\mu\text{g/L}$  is based on the lowest level of perchlorate in drinking water that prevents thyroidal uptake of iodine or a decrease in thyroid hormone production.

The DDW lists the Best Available Technologies (BATs) for removing perchlorate to below the MCL as ion exchange and biological treatment using fluidized bed reactors. For the purpose of cost estimation, ion exchange was selected as the treatment method to consistently remove perchlorate below the PHG in the Placentia – Yorba Linda System.

## **Bromate**

Bromate has been detected at levels up to 5.9 µg/L in the water supplied to the Placentia – Yorba Linda System. The MCL is an average of 10 µg/L and the PHG is 0.1 µg/L. Bromate is a chemical that is formed when ozone is used to disinfect drinking water; the ozone reacts with naturally occurring bromide found in source water. Compliance for bromate is based on a running annual average (RAA), computed quarterly, of monthly samples collected by the system. If the average of samples covering any consecutive four-quarter period exceeds the MCL, the system is in violation of the MCL. Although an individual sample or samples was higher than the MCL, our RAA was below the MCL. Our water system is in full compliance with the drinking water standard for bromate, but we have detected bromate in the system above the PHG level.

The DDW and USEPA have determined that bromate is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. The category of health risk associated with bromate, and the reason that a drinking water standard was adopted for it, is that some people who drink water containing bromate in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer. The numerical health risk for the PHG of 0.10 µg/L is one excess cancer case per million people. The numerical health risk for the MCL of 10 µg/L is 1 excess cancer case per ten thousand people.

The BAT for limiting bromate to below the PHG is control of the ozone treatment process to reduce production of bromate. This is typically accomplished by treating the source water in a variety of ways to reduce the natural organic matter (NOM), which will allow a reduction in the applied dose of ozone used for disinfection. However, in the Placentia – Yorba Linda System, the source water is treated by a third party. Therefore, the bromate would need to be removed. For the purpose of cost estimation, RO was selected as the treatment method to consistently remove bromate below the PHG in the Placentia – Yorba Linda system.

## *Radiological Contaminants*

### **Gross Beta Particle Activity**

Certain minerals are radioactive and may emit a form of radiation known as photons and beta radiation. Gross beta particle activity has been detected at levels up to 7.0 pCi/L in the water supplied to the Placentia – Yorba Linda System. There is no PHG for gross beta particle activity. The MCLG is 0 millirem per year (mrem/yr), and the MCL is 4 mrem/yr. Our water system is in

full compliance with the drinking water standard for gross beta particle activity, but the level in the system at times exceeds the MCLG.

The DDW and the USEPA have determined that gross beta particle activity is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This radiological constituent is a naturally occurring contaminant in some water supplies. The category of health risk associated with gross beta particle activity, and the reason that a drinking water standard was adopted for it, is that some people who drink water containing this contaminant in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer. The numerical health risk for the MCLG of 0 mrem/yr is zero excess cancer cases. The numerical health risk for the MCL of 4 mrem/yr is 2 excess cancer cases per thousand people.

The BATs identified to treat gross beta particle activity are ion exchange and reverse osmosis (RO). For the purpose of cost estimation, RO was selected as the treatment method to consistently remove gross beta particle activity below the MCLG in the Placentia – Yorba Linda system.

## Uranium

Uranium has been detected at levels up to 6 pCi/L in the water supplied to the Placentia-Yorba Linda System. The MCL is 20 pCi/L and the PHG is 0.43 pCi/L. Our water system is in full compliance with the drinking water standard for uranium, but the uranium level in the system at times exceeds the PHG.

The DDW has determined that uranium is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This radiological constituent is a naturally occurring contaminant in groundwater supplies. Exposure to uranium in drinking water may result in toxic effects to the kidney. This constituent has also been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Constituents that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. The numerical health risk for the PHG of 0.43 pCi/L is one excess cancer case per million people. The numerical health risk for the MCL of 20 pCi/L is 5 excess cancer cases per hundred thousand people.

The DDW lists the BATs for removing uranium as ion exchange, reverse osmosis (RO), lime softening, or coagulation/filtration. For the purpose of cost estimation, RO was selected as the treatment method to consistently remove uranium below the PHG in the Placentia-Yorba Linda system.

## Cost of Treatment

The cost of treatment can depend upon a number of factors. They include the type of treatment, the number of separate treatment facilities required, and if there are multiple contaminants, whether they can all be removed with one treatment technology or require multiple technologies. The table below lists the costs for the Placentia-Yorba Linda System to consistently remove the contaminants listed

in the previous section to below the PHG or MCLG. Costs include construction and annual operational expenses. These costs are estimates only, and could in fact be much higher.

<b>Best Available Technology</b>	<b>Number of Sites Required</b>	<b>Total Annual Cost</b>	<b>Monthly Cost / Connection</b>
Reverse Osmosis	6	\$33,392,512	\$216.02
TOTAL	6	\$33,392,512	\$216.02

## Summary of Findings

Overall, four contaminants were detected in the Placentia-Yorba Linda System at concentrations above the PHGs and or MCLGs. Golden State Water did not serve water that contained contaminants in violation of recognized and enforceable MCLs. The drinking water quality of Golden State Water's Placentia-Yorba Linda System meets all drinking water standards for protection of public health.

If you have any questions about this report, please call us at (800) 999-4033. We are available to answer your questions 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, or visit our website at <http://www.gswater.com>.